Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

A new deflated block GCROT(m, k) method for the solution of linear systems with multiple right-hand sides

Jing Meng · Peiyong Zhu · Houbiao Li · Yanfei Jing

arXiv:1405.3733v2 [math.NA] 26 Jun 2014

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Linear systems with multiple right-hand sides arise in many applications. To solve such systems efficiently, a new deflated block GCROT(m, k) method is explored in this paper by exploiting a modified block Arnoldi deflation. This deflation strategy has been shown to have the potential to improve the original deflation which indicates an explicit block size reduction. Incorporating this modified block Arnoldi deflation, the new algorithm can address the possible linear dependence at each iteration during the block Arnoldi procedure and avoids expensive computational operations. In addition, we analyze its main mathematical properties and prove that the deflation procedure is based on a non-increasing behavior of the singular values of the true block residual. Moreover, as a block version of GCROT(m, k), the new method inherits the property of easy operability. Finally, some numerical examples also illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Keywords Deflated block GCROT(m, k) · modified block Arnoldi deflation · multiple right-hand sides · truncation

1 Introduction

Let us consider the linear systems with p right-hand sides (RHSs)

$$AX = B, \tag{1}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is a non-singular matrix of large dimension, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times p}$ is full rank and $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times p}, (p \ll n)$. Such linear systems with multiple RHSs arise

Supported by YBXSZC20131070, the the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11026085, 11101071, 51175443) and the Project-sponsored by OATF (UESTC).

Jing Meng · Peiyong Zhu · Houbiao Li · Yanfei Jing
School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan, 611731, P.R.China
E-mail: mengmeng-erni@163.com, lihoubiao0189@163.com

in many applications, see, e.g., electromagnetic scattering [1], model reduction in circuit simulation[2], Quantum Chromo Dynamics QCD[3,4].

To solve such systems efficiently, block Krylov subspace methods, which are extended iterative solvers from single to multiple systems, have been appealing. This is due to the fact that a block Krylov subspace has a much larger search space and contains all vectors of Krylov subspaces generated by a single linear system ($p = 1$). Let $X_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times p}$ be an initial block guess and $R_0 = B - AX_0$. Then the approximate solution $X_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times p}$ generated by block iterative methods satisfies

$$X_k - X_0 \in \mathcal{K}_k(A, R_0),$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_k(A, R_0) = \{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^i R_0 \gamma_i, \forall \gamma_i \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times p}, 0 \le i \le k-1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n\times p}$$

is the k-th block Krylov subspace generated by A and increasing powers of A applied to R_0. Note that each of the p columns of X_k satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} X_k(:,l) - X_0(:,l) &\in \{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\sum_{j=1}^{p} A^i R_0(:,j)\gamma_i(j,l), \gamma_i(j,l) \in \mathbb{C}, \forall 1 \le l \le p\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \mathbb{K}_k(A, R_0(:,j)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{K}_k(A, R_0(:,j)) = \{R_0(:,j), AR_0(:,j), \ldots, A^{k-1}R_0(:,j)\}$ and $R_0(:,j)$ denotes the j-th column of R_0. By comparison with the single right-hand side case, the solution of each linear system is sought in a richer space leading hopefully to a reduction of iteration counts. Moreover, another advantage is that block Krylov subspace algorithms are better suited to parallelism [5,6] and make better use of higher level BLAS [7].

The block Conjugate Gradient (BCG) is the first block iterative solver introduced by O'Leary [5] and its related algorithms were proposed for parallel computers [8,9]. For nonsymmetric problems, many block counterparts have been proposed, such as the block generalized minimal residual (BGMRES) method and its variants [10,11,12,13,14,15], the block quasi minimum residual (BQMR) method [16], the block BiCGstab (BBiCGstab) algorithm[17], the block Lanczos method[18], the block least squares (BLSQR) algorithm[19] and the block IDR(s) algorithm[20]. Refer to [21] for a recent overview on block Krylov subspace methods.

In 1996, De Sturler [22] proposed a generalized conjugate residual method with implicit inner orthogonalization (GCRO) to address a single linear system problem. GCRO is essentially a nested Krylov method based on the generalized conjugate residual (GCR) method, which uses GMRES as an inner method. The method allows users to select the optimal correction over arbitrary subspace. Furthermore, to minimize the error produced by discarding information, De Sturler extended this concept by providing a framework where the

optimal subspace is retained from one cycle to the next. This method is called GCROT [23]. However, GCROT is complicated to implement and requires five nontrivial parameters. In order to reduce the burden of determining optimal parameters, Hicken & Zingg presented a competitive method, GCROT(m, k) [24], which requires only two parameters: an inner subspace size and an outer subspace size. In this case, GCROT(m, k) is straightforward to implement. Based on this new implementation, its block version, block GCROT(m, k) (BGCROT(m, k)), has been developed [25]. It is a block method for solving multiple RHSs linear systems, but it is usual to come across the possible linear dependence of some columns of the block residuals. An attractive improvement measure consists in combining BGCROT(m, k) with a deflation technique which is used to delete such a dependence explicitly during the block iterative procedure.

This deflation may occur at startup or in a later step [21]. To the best of our knowledge, there exist three ways to proceed.

- Initial deflation [26,21] is a simple strategy which allows to remove the possible linearly dependent columns in the initial block residual R_0.
- A second deflation strategy consists in deleting linearly and almost linearly dependent columns at each initial computation of the block residual, i.e., at the beginning of each cycle, when a restarted block Krylov subspace method is used [15,21,27].
- Deflation at each iteration deals with linearly and almost linearly dependent columns of block residuals occurring at each iteration [13,21,27] in the block Arnoldi procedure.

As far as we know, the first method incorporating the third strategy is due to Robbé and Sadkane [13]. Unfortunately, deflation may lead to a loss of information that slows down the convergence [26]. To remedy this situation, Robbé and Sadkane kept the almost linearly dependent vectors and reintroduced them in the next iterations if necessary during the block Arnoldi procedure, for detail, see [13]. We call this skill the modified block Arnoldi deflation.

Modified block Arnoldi deflation technique has shown great potential to improve the convergence and reduce computational cost for block Krylov subspace solvers [13,27], in many cases, without dramatically increasing the memory requirements. Therefore, if we can combine BGCROT(m, k) with this technique, we will have an effective method which will be able to handle the possible linearly dependent vectors in the block Krylov subspace. We call this new method deflated BGCROT(m, k).

The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows. First we will derive a new deflated BGCROT(m, k) method (DBGCROT(m, k)) by exploiting the modified block Arnoldi deflation technique. Incorporating this deflation strategy, the new approach can address the possible linear dependence at each iteration during the block Arnoldi procedure and avoids expensive computational operations. Second, we analyze its main mathematical properties and then prove that the deflation procedure is based on a non-

increasing behavior of the singular values of the block residual. The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we describe the deflated block GCRO method and detail the modified block Arnoldi deflation procedure. Thereafter, its truncated block version is derived in Section 3. The effectiveness of the proposed method is also demonstrated in Section 4. Finally, some conclusions are summarized in Section 5.

2 A deflated block GCRO method

In this section, our goal is to adapt the modified block Arnoldi deflation technique to improve existing block GCRO (BGCRO) method [25,28]. In addition, we detail how to address linearly and almost linearly dependent vectors during the BGCRO iteration procedure.

2.1 The BGCRO method

We briefly review the BGCRO method, as described in [25,28]. Firstly the block version of GCR used as an outer iteration method is given. Let $\mathcal{U}_k = [U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_k]$, $\mathcal{C}_k = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k] \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times kp}$ be given matrices satisfying

$$A\mathcal{U}_k = \mathcal{C}_k,$$

$$\mathcal{C}_k^H \mathcal{C}_k = I_{kp},$$

where $U_i, C_i \in \mathcal{K}(A, R_0), 1 \le i \le k$, U_i is a block search vector and $\mathcal{K}(A, R_0) = \text{span}\{R_0, AR_0, A^2R_0, \ldots\}$. Then we consider the following minimization problem

$$\min_{X\in\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U}_k)} ||R_0 - AX||_F.$$

In order to minimize the residual over the search space $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U}_k)$, the approximate solution X_k and the corresponding residual $R_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times p}$ satisfy

$$X_k = X_0 + \mathcal{U}_k \mathcal{C}_k^H R_0,$$

$$R_k = R_0 - \mathcal{C}_k \mathcal{C}_k^H R_0 \ \text{ such that } \ R_k \perp \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_k).$$

The following question refers to how to generate U_{k+1} and C_{k+1} for the subsequent iteration. Ideally, we would like to choose $U_{k+1} = E_k$ with $E_k = X - X_k$. However, in general, it is not easy to get the error E_k due to the unknown X. An alternative method is to choose a suitable approximation to the error E_k, which is tantamount to solving the equation

$$AE_k = R_k. \tag{2}$$

This is done by using an inner iteration method. In general, any block Krylov-based iterative solver (e.g., BGMRES [10,11,12,13,14], BBiCGstab [17]), which gives an approximate solution to E_k, could be used as the inner method. Here,

BGMRES is considered. For preserving the orthogonality relations of GCR in the inner algorithm (or for a faster convergence speed), De Sturler [22] explored an idea of using $(I-C_kC_k^H)A$ instead of A as the operator in the Krylov method in the inner loop for the single linear system. Following [22], we also take $(I-\mathcal{C}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H)A$ as the concerned operator. So solving (2) by using BGMRES (after m iterations) is equivalent to solve the following minimization problem

$$Y_m = \underset{Y\in\mathbb{C}^{mp\times p}}{\arg\min}\ ||R_k - (I-\mathcal{C}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H)A\mathcal{V}_mY||_F,$$

where $\mathcal{V}_m$ is an $n\times mp$ orthogonal matrix. Since $R_k \perp \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_k)$, we get

$$Y_m = \min_{Y\in\mathbb{C}^{mp\times p}} ||(I-\mathcal{C}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H)(R_k - A\mathcal{V}_mY)||_F.$$

Then, in the outer loop we set

$$U_{k+1} = (\mathcal{V}_mY_m - \mathcal{U}_k\mathcal{C}_k^HA\mathcal{V}_mY_m)/||(I-\mathcal{C}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H)A\mathcal{V}_mY_m||_F,$$
$$C_{k+1} = ((I-\mathcal{C}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H)A\mathcal{V}_mY_m)/||(I-\mathcal{C}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H)A\mathcal{V}_mY_m||_F.$$

To make these ideas more concrete, the pseudocode for BGCRO is presented in Algorithm 1.

In Algorithm 1, the block Gram-Schmidt procedure (from line 5 to line 19) proceeds by orthonormalizing AV_j against $\mathcal{C}_k$ and $\mathcal{V}_j$, which constructs a block Arnoldi-like relation

$$(I-\mathcal{C}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H)A\mathcal{V}_m = \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\mathcal{H}_m,$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_m = \begin{bmatrix} H_{1,1} & H_{1,2} & \dots & H_{1,m} \\ H_{2,1} & H_{2,2} & \dots & H_{2,m} \\ 0_{p\times p} & H_{3,2} & \dots & H_{3,m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{p\times p} & \dots & 0_{p\times p} & H_{m+1,m} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(m+1)p\times mp}$$

is a block Hessenberg matrix. After minimizing the Frobenius norm of the block residual (line 21 of Algorithm 1), the inner loop procedure is done.

Let $P_{\mathcal{C}_k} = I - \mathcal{C}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H$ and $A_{\mathcal{C}_k} = P_{\mathcal{C}_k}A$. In the inner loop, we consider m steps of BGMRES to find the optimal approximation in the subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A_{\mathcal{C}_k}, P_{\mathcal{C}_k}R_k)$. Since $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_k) \subset \mathcal{K}(A, R_0)$, $(\mathcal{K}(A,R_0)\cap\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_k))^\perp$ is an invariant subspace of $A_{\mathcal{C}_k}$. It allows us to compute the optimal approximation over the (global) space $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U}_k) + A^{-1}\mathcal{K}_m(A_{\mathcal{C}_k}, A_{\mathcal{C}_k}R_k)$. The following theorem summarizes the convergence properties for the BGCRO approach.

Theorem 1 *Let $A_{\mathcal{C}_k} = (I-\mathcal{C}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H)A$ and suppose that the block Krylov matrix $(R_k, A_{\mathcal{C}_k}R_k, \dots, A_{\mathcal{C}_k}^{m-1}R_k)$ has full column rank mp. Using a block Arnoldi procedure in the inner loop, we obtain the following equation $A_{\mathcal{C}_k}\mathcal{V}_m = \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\mathcal{H}_m$. Let Y_m be the solution of the inner BGMRES (after m iterations) method:*

$$Y_m = \underset{Y\in\mathbb{C}^{mp\times p}}{\arg\min}\ ||R_k - A_{\mathcal{C}_k}\mathcal{V}_mY||_F = \underset{Y\in\mathbb{C}^{mp\times p}}{\arg\min}\ ||R_k - \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\mathcal{H}_mY||_F.$$

Algorithm 1 The BGCRO method [25,28]

Compute $R_0 = B - AX_0$
for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ **do**
  ♯ Perform m steps of the BGMRES method
  Compute the QR decomposition of R_k as $R_k = QR$
  $V_1 = Q$, $G_1 = [R^H, 0_{mp\times p}]^H$
  for $j = 1, \ldots, m$, **do**
    Compute $W = AV_j$
    ♯ Orthogonalize W against $\mathcal{C}_k$
    for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ **do**
      $B_{i,j} = C_i^H W$
      $W := W - C_i B_{i,j}$
    end for
    ♯ Orthogonalize W against $\mathcal{V}_j$
    for $i = 1, \ldots, j$ **do**
      $H_{i,j} = V_i^H W$
      $W := W - V_i H_{i,j}$
    end for
    Compute the QR decomposition of W as $W = V_{j+1}H_{j+1,j}$
  end for
  Define $\mathcal{V}_{m+1} = [V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{m+1}]$
  Compute $Y_m = \arg\min_{Y\in\mathbb{C}^{mp\times p}} ||G_1 - \mathcal{H}_m Y||_F$
  ♯ Define new outer vectors
  $U_{k+1} = (\mathcal{V}_m - \mathcal{U}_k\mathcal{B}_m)Y_m$ with $\mathcal{B}_m = \mathcal{C}_k^H A\mathcal{V}_m$
  $C_{k+1} = \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\mathcal{H}_m Y_m$
  Compute the QR decomposition of C_{k+1} as $C_{k+1} = QR$
  $C_{k+1} = Q, U_{k+1} = U_{k+1}/R$
  ♯ Update residual and solution
  $R_{k+1} := R_k - C_{k+1}(C_{k+1}^H R_k)$
  $X_{k+1} := X_k + U_{k+1}(C_{k+1}^H R_k)$
end for

Then the minimal residual solution of the inner method, $A^{-1}A_{\mathcal{C}_k}\mathcal{V}_m Y$, gives the outer approximation

$$X_{k+1} = X_k + A^{-1}A_{\mathcal{C}_k}\mathcal{V}_m Y_m = X_k + (I - \mathcal{U}_k\mathcal{C}_k^H A)\mathcal{V}_m Y_m,$$

which is also the solution to the global minimization problem

$$\min\{||B - AX||_F : X \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U}_k) \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{V}_m)\}.$$

Proof. It is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.1 [22] and see also [30]. □

3 Conclusions and future works

In this paper, we have derived a new deflated block GCROT(m, k) method for nonsymmetric linear systems with multiple RHSs. Incorporating this modified block Arnoldi deflation, the new algorithm can detect the possible linear dependence at each iteration during the block Arnoldi procedure and avoids expensive computational operations. Moreover, we analyze its main mathematical properties and prove that the deflation procedure is based on a nonincreasing behavior of the singular values of the true block residual. Numerical

examples report that the DBGCROT(m, k) approach can lead to a faster convergence and is more effective than some other block solvers, especially when the RHSs are nearly linearly dependent. Therefore, it may be concluded that DBGCROT(m, k) is a competitive method for solving the linear systems with multiple RHSs.

Acknowledgements The authors sincerely thank Jason. E. Hicken for suggesting and providing the information of flexible variant of GCROT(m, k). We are also grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable and helpful comments that greatly improved the original manuscript of this paper.

References

1. P. Soudais.: Iterative solution methods of a 3-D scattering problem from arbitrary shaped multidielectric and multiconducting bodies. IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation. 42, 954-959 (1994)
2. R. W. Freund.: Krylov-subspace methods for reduced-order modeling in circuit simulation. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics. 123, 395-421 (2000)
3. J.C.R. Bloch and S. Heybrock.: A nested Krylov subspace method to compute the sign function of large complex matrices. Comput. Phys. Commun. 182, 878-889 (2011)
4. T.Sakurai, H.Tadano, Y.Kuramashi.: Application of block Krylov subspace algorithms to the Wilson-Dirac equation with multiple right-hand sides in lattice QCD. Comput. Phys. Commun. 181, 113-117 (2010)
5. D. P. O'Leary.: The block conjugate gradient algorithm and related methods. Linear Algebra Appl. 29, 293-322 (1980)
6. G. Li.; A block variant of the GMRES method on massively parallel processors. Parallel Comput. 23, 1005-1019 (1997)
7. Z. Bai, D. Day, and Q. Ye, ABLE.: An adaptive block Lanczos method for non-Hermitian eigenvalue problems. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 20, 1060-1082 (1999)
8. A. Nikishin, A. Yeremin.: Variable block CG algorithms for solving large sparse symmetric positive definite linear systems on parallel computers I: general iterative scheme. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. 16, 1135-1153 (1995)
9. V. Simoncini.: A stabilized QMR version of block BICG. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 18, 419-434 (1997)
10. B. Vital, Etude de quelques méthodes de résolution de problèeme linéaire de grande taille sur multiprocesseur, PhD thesis, University of Rennes, France, (1990)
11. V. Simoncini, E. Gallopoulos.: Convergence properties of block GMRES and matrix polynomials. Linear Algebra Appl. 247, 97-119 (1996)
12. H.-L. Liu, B.-J. Zhong.: Simpler block GMRES for nonsymmetric systems with multiple right-hand sides. Elec. Trans. Numer. Anal. 30, 1-9 (2008)
13. M. Robbé, M. Sadkane.: Exact and inexact breakdowns in the block GMRES method. Linear Algebra Appl. 419, 265-285 (2006)
14. R. D. da Cunha, D. Becker.: Dynamic block GMRES: an iterative method for block linear systems. Adv. Comput. Math. 27, 423-448 (2007)
15. H. Calandra, S. Gratton, J. Langou, X. Pinel, X. Vasseur.: Flexible vatiants of block restarted GMRES methods with application to geophysis. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 34, 714-736 (2012)
16. R. Freund, M. Malhotra.: A Block-QMR algorithm for non-hermitian linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. Linear Algebra Appl. 254, 119-157 (1997)
17. A. El Guennouni, K. Jbilou, H. Sadok.: A block version of BICGSTAB for linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. Elec. Trans. Numer. Anal. 16, 129-142 (2003)
18. A. El Guennouni, K. Jbilou, H. Sadok.: The block Lanczos method for linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. Appl. Numer. Math. 51, 243-256 (2004)

19. S. Karimi, F. Toutounian.: The block least squares method for solving nonsymmetric linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. Appl. Math. Comput. 177, 852-862 (2006)
20. L. Du, T. Sogabe, B. Yu, Y. Yamamoto, S.-L. Zhang.: A block IDR(s) method of nonsymmetric linear systems with multiple right-hand side. Comput. Appl. Math. 235, 4095-4106 (2011)
21. M. H. Gutknecht.: Block Krylov space methods for linear systems with multiple right-hand sides: an introduction. In A.H. Siddiqi, I.S. Duff, and O. Christensen, editors, Modern Mathematical Models, Methods and Algorithms for Real World Systems, pages 420-447, Anamaya Publishers. New Delhi, India (2007)
22. E. de Sturler.: Nested Krylov methods based on GCR. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 67, 15-41 (1996)
23. E. de Sturler.: Truncation strategies for optimal Krylov subspace methods. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 36, 864-889 (1999)
24. Jason. E. Hicken, David W. Zingg.: A simplified and flexible variant of GCROT for solving nonsysmmetric linear systems. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 32, 1672-1694 (2010)
25. J. Meng, P.-Y. Zhu, H.-B. Li.: A block GCROT(m,k) method for linear systems with multiple right-hand sides, Comput. Appl. Math. 255, 544-554 (2014)
26. J. Langou.: Iterative methods for solving linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. PhD thesis, CERFACS (2003)
27. H. Calandra, S. Gratton, R. Lago, X. Vasseur, L. M. Carvalho.: A modified block flexible GMRES method with deflation at each iteration for the solution of non-Hermitian linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 35(5), 345-367 (2013)
28. R. Yu, Eric De Sturler and D. D. Johnson.: A Block iterative solver for complex non-hermitian systems applied to Lerge-scale. electronic-structure ccalculations. Technical Report, (2002)
29. R. B. Morgan.: Restarted block GMRES with deflation of eigenvalues. Appl. Numer. Math., 54, 222-236 (2005)
30. M. Eiermann and O. G. Ernst.: Geometric aspects of the theory of Krylov subspace methods. Acta Numerica, 10, 251-312 (2001)
31. National Institute of Standards and Technology: Matrix Market. http://math.nist.gov/Matrix-Market.
32. T. A. Davis, Y. F. Hu.: The University of Florida Sparse Matrix Collection. ACM Trans. Math. Software, to appear; available online at http://www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/matrices.
33. K. Jbilou, H. Sadok, A. Tinzefte.: Oblique projection methods for linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. Elec. Trans. Numer. Anal. 20, 119-138 (2005)
34. M. Heyouni.: The global Hessenberg and global CMRH methods for linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. Numer. Algorithms. 26, 317-332 (2001)
35. M. Heyouni, A. Essai.: Matrix Krylov subspace methods for linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. Numer. Algorithms. 40, 137-156 (2005)
36. A. H. Baker, J. M. Dennis, and E. R. Jessup.: An efficient block variant of GMRES. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 27, 1608-1626 (2006)
37. M. Kilmer, E. Miller, C. Rappaport.: QMR-based projection techniques for the solution of non-Hermitian systems with multiple right-hand sides. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 23, 761-780 (2001)
38. Ronald B. Morgan, Dywayne A. Nicely.: Restarting the nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm for eigenvalues and linear equations including multiple right-hand sides. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 33, 3037-3056 (2011)
39. Na Li, Y. Saad.: Crout versions of ILU factorization with pivoting for sparse symmetric matrices. Elec. Trans. Numer. Anal. 20, 75-85, (2005)
40. Na Li, Y. Saad, E. Chow.: Crout versions of ILU for general sparse matrices. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 25(2), 716-728, (2003)
41. Y.A. Erlangga, C. Vuik and C.W. Oosterlee.: On a class of preconditioners for solving the Helmholtz equation. Appl. Numer. Math. 50, 409-425, (2004)
42. D. Osei-Kuffuor and Y. Saad.:Preconditioning Helmholtz linear systems. Appl. Numer. Math. 60, 420-431, (2010)
43. Andreas Stathopoulos, Konstantions Orginos.: Computing and deflating eigenvalues while solving multiple right-hand side linear systems with an application to quantum chromodynamics. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 32, 439-462 (2010)

44. B Carpentieri, YF Jing, TZ Huang.: The BICOR and CORS iterative algorithms for solving nonsymmetric linear systems. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 33(5), 3020-3036, (2011)
45. K. Meerbergen, Z. Bai.: The Lanczos method for parameterized symmetric linear systems with multiple right-hand sides. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 4, 1642-1662 (2010)
46. R. W. Freund.; A transpose-free quasi-minimal residual algorithm for non-hermitian linear systems. SIAM J. Sc. Stat. Comp. 14, 470-482 (1993)
47. Y. Saad.: Iterative methods for sparse linear systems. SIAM, (2003)